A Clinical Study Using Combination Therapy with Standard of Care for the Treatment of Diabetic Foot Ulcers: Interim Analysis

Introduction

- Diabetic foot ulcers (DFUs) are a common type of chronic wound among patients with diabetes and are associated with an increased risk of amputation^{1,2}
- A novel, proprietary combination therapy (Omeza LLC, Sarasota, FL) has been developed in accordance with the clinical perspective that effective wound care should mimic the effects of wound healing in a healthy body
- The combination therapy is composed of:
- \circ A wound therapy formulation (OCMTM)
- A wound preparation formulation
- A skin protectant formulation
- OCM is a drug/device that contains peptides, omega fatty acids, and anabolic metabolites that support synthesis of new tissue
- One of the goals of this ongoing study is to demonstrate that the combination therapy plus standard of care (SOC) moves chronic DFUs from a stalled state to a healing state in a 4-week period (NCT05417425)

Objectives and Endpoints

- Primary objectives were to evaluate safety of combination therapy plus SOC (off-loading) and the impact of treatment on chronicity of wound healing after 4 weeks in the management of chronic DFUs
- The key primary endpoint was change in percent area reduction (PAR) after 4 weeks of treatment versus baseline; PAR after 12 weeks of treatment was also assessed
- Safety was evaluated throughout the study
- Secondary objectives were to evaluate healing of DFUs over 4 weeks of combination therapy plus SOC and time to complete wound closure

Methods

Study Design and Patients

- The study was conducted in 3 phases: screening, treatment, and healing confirmation (Figure 1)
- During the treatment phase, patients' DFUs were managed with combination therapy plus SOC (off-loading)

- discretion
- epithelialization

Figure 1. Study design.



Abbreviations: EOS, end of study; DFU, diabetic foot ulcer; SOC, standard of care.

- Target DFU was located on foot or ankle and, if 2 or more are present, was the largest
- Study ulcer must have been present for at least 1 month at date of signed consent
- Study ulcer size between 0.5 cm² and 100 cm² • Key exclusion criteria
- Study ulcer with signs and symptoms of infection
- \circ Patients with a BMI >65 or who were active smokers, were undergoing dialysis, or had active cancer
- Patients with a history of immunodeficiency or any illness that could interfere with wound healing, untreated
- osteomyelitis or bone infection, hepatitis, acute deep vein thrombosis, or unstable Charcot foot
- Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics
- Results of an interim analysis are presented

• At the end of 4 weeks of treatment visits, patients whose DFUs had not healed had the option of continuing therapy for up to 8 additional weeks; if needed, patients could receive 2 additional weeks of therapy at the investigator's

• Complete healing of the study ulcer was defined as 100% re-

• Key inclusion criteria

 \circ Adult (\geq 21 years) patients with Type 1 or Type 2 diabetes • Presence of a DFU (Wagner grade 1 or 2) extending at least through the dermis

Results

Patients

- Twelve patients were included in this interim analysis
- One patient died as a result of comorbidities before completing the study
- Median age was 55 years (range, 44 to 67), and median wound duration was 40 weeks (range, 4-72)

Efficacy and Safety

- Average 4-week PAR was 63%
- At treatment visit 1, median wound size was 1.3 cm² (range, 0.37-25.0)
- At treatment visit 5, median wound size was reduced to 0.57 cm^2 (range, 0-10.06)
- Two patients experienced complete closure of their DFUs at week 4 (Figure 2)
- One patient who had a DFU of 48 weeks duration prior to treatment had a PAR of 45% but did not pursue the continued treatment option

Figure 2. Percentage closure of patients' DFUs after 4 weeks of treatment (4-week PAR).



Abbreviation: PAR, percent area reduction.

- Average 12-week PAR was 91%
- At treatment visit 12, median wound size was further reduced to 0.13 cm^2 (range, 0-2.59)
- 2 additional patients had complete closure of their DFUs; both of these cases were of ≥ 1 year duration prior to combination therapy and SOC (Figure 3)

Desmond P. Bell^{*}, DPM, CWS, FFPM RCPS (Glasg),¹ Susan Shuman, BSN, RN,² Mary Cheney, APRN, CNP, CWS, COCN², Richard Simman, MD, FACS, FACCWS,^{2,3}

¹Omeza, LLC, Sarasota, FL, US; ²ProMedica Jobst Wound Care, Toledo, OH, US; ³University of Toledo College of Medicine, Toledo, OH, US.



- A total of 10 patients had PAR measurements at week 4 and
- week 12 (Figure 4) 10 of 12 patients' wounds improved throughout the study
- One patient's wound healed after week 12 (12-week PAR of 90%)
- One patient with a 12-week PAR of 73% continued to improve through week 14
- Three patients' wounds had not healed at the time of analysis (12-week PARs of 78%, 80%, and 85%)



Abbreviation: PAR, percent area reduction.

Conclusions

- Results of this interim analysis of a clinical trial show encouraging healing rates (average PAR of 63% at 4 weeks and 91% at 12 weeks) of DFUs that were managed with a novel combination therapy and SOC
- Some patients included in the analysis had comorbid conditions that negatively affected their innate healing abilities
- No adverse events related to treatment were reported during the study
- Final results of this study are forthcoming
- Additional clinical trials evaluating the combination therapy for the treatment of VLUs (NCT05291169) and wounds/ulcers of multiple etiologies (NCT05921292) are underway
- Studies assessing the combination therapy in real-world settings are also underway, and those results will expand and enhance the current evidence supporting use of the combination therapy in multiple types of chronic or refractory wounds

REFERENCES

1. Edmonds M, et al. J Clin Orthop Trauma. 2021;17:88. 2. Sen CK. Adv Wound Care (New Rochelle). 2021;10:281.

DISCLOSURES

DB: Employee, Omeza, LLC. **SS:** Research support, Omeza, LLC. MC: Research support, Omeza, LLC. **RS:** Research support, Omeza, LLC.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The study was sponsored by Omeza, LLC (Sarasota, FL, USA). Medical writing and editorial assistance were provided by MedVal Scientific Information Services, LLC (Princeton, NJ, USA), and were funded by Omeza, LLC.

